PACE-O-MATIC, INC.,	:
Plaintiff, v.	: Docket No. 1:20-cv-00292
ECKERT SEAMANS CHERIN & MELLOTT, LLC, MARK S. STEW and KEVIN M. SKJOLDAL,  Defendants	; :
	:
$\underline{\mathbf{C}}$	ORDER
AND NOW, this day of	, 2021, upon consideration of
the Unopposed Motion of Defendants	s for Enlargement of Time to Respond to
Plaintiff's Amended Motion for Preli	minary Injunction, it is hereby ORDERED
that the Motion is GRANTED. Defer	ndants shall have until Friday, October 22,
2021 to file their response to Plaintiff	s Amended Motion for Preliminary
Injunction.	
	BY THE COURT:
	Jennifer P. Wilson, U.S.D.J.

PACE-O-MATIC, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v. : Docket No. 1:20-cv-00292

ECKERT SEAMANS CHERIN & MELLOTT, LLC, MARK S. STEWART, and KEVIN M. SKJOLDAL,

(Judge Jennifer P. Wilson)

Defendants.

# UNOPPOSED MOTION OF DEFENDANTS FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INUNCTION

Defendants, Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC ("Eckert"), Mark S. Stewart, and Kevin M. Skjoldal (collectively, "defendants"), by and through their counsel, Fox Rothschild LLP, hereby move this Court for a one (1) week enlargement of time to respond to the amended motion for preliminary injunction of plaintiff, Pace-O-Matic, Inc. ("POM"). In support thereof, defendants allege as follows:

- 1. This is a breach of fiduciary action filed by POM against defendants.
- 2. POM filed its Amended Complaint in this action on August 3, 2021.
- 3. On August 27, 2021, defendants filed a partial motion to dismiss, which is still pending.

- 4. On September 17, 2021, POM filed an amended motion for preliminary injunction ("Amended Motion"), and on October 1, 2021, POM filed its brief in support of its Amended Motion.
- 5. Under the Local Rules for the United States District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania, Eckert's response to the Amended Motion would be due on October 15, 2021.
- 6. Defendants seek a one (1) week extension to file its response to POM's Amended Motion.
- 7. Defendants, thus, seek an extension until October 22, 2021 to respond to POM's Amended Motion.
- 8. Counsel for defendants requested POM's consent for the one (1) week enlargement of time, and counsel for POM agreed to the extension.

WHEREFORE, defendants respectfully request that this Court grant their motion and permit defendants an additional week, or until October 22, 2021, to file their response to the amended motion for preliminary injunction filed by plaintiff, Pace-O-Matic, Inc.

ABRAHAM C. REICH, ESQUIRE (No. 20060)

ROBERT S. TINTNER, ESQUIRE (No. 73865)

FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP

2000 Market Street, 10th Floor

Philadelphia, PA 19103-3291

(215) 299-2090/2766 (telephone)

(215) 299-2150 (facsimile)

areich@foxrothschild.com

rtintner@foxrothschild.com

Counsel for Defendants, ECKERT SEAMANS CHERIN & MELLOTT, LLC, MARK S. STEWART, KEVIN M. SKJOLDAL

Date: October 7, 2021

PACE-O-MATIC, INC.,

Plaintiff,

Docket No. 1:20-cv-00292 v.

ECKERT SEAMANS CHERIN & MELLOTT, LLC, MARK S. STEWART,

and KEVIN M. SKJOLDAL,

Defendants.

(Judge Jennifer P. Wilson)

### **CERTIFICATE OF CONCURRENCE**

I, Robert S. Tintner, Esquire, hereby certify that I sought the concurrence of counsel for plaintiff, Daniel T. Brier, Esquire, in this motion. Mr. Brier does not oppose the relief sought in in this motion.

ROBERT S. TINTNER, ESQUIRE

Dated: October 7, 2021

PACE-O-MATIC, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v. : Docket No. 1:20-cv-00292

ECKERT SEAMANS CHERIN & MELLOTT, LLC, MARK S. STEWART, and KEVIN M. SKJOLDAL,

(Judge Jennifer P. Wilson)

Defendants.

D CTCH GUARNES.

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Robert S. Tintner, Esquire, hereby certify that, on this 7<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2021, I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing Unopposed Motion for Enlargement of Time, via ECF, upon the following:

Daniel T. Brier, Esquire Donna A. Walsh, Esquire Myers, Brier & Kelly, LLP 425 Spruce Street, Suite 200 Scranton, PA 18503

Counsel for Plaintiff PACE-O-MATIC, INC.

ROBERT S. TINTNER, ESQUIRE